

HIGHER LAME EQUATIONS AND CRITICAL POINTS OF MASTER FUNCTIONS

E. MUKHIN ^{*}, V. TARASOV ^{*,*,1}, AND A. VARCHENKO ^{**,2}

^{}Department of Mathematical Sciences, Indiana University – Purdue University,
Indianapolis, 402 North Blackford St, Indianapolis, IN 46202-3216, USA*

^{}St. Petersburg Branch of Steklov Mathematical Institute
Fontanka 27, St. Petersburg, 191023, Russia*

*^{**}Department of Mathematics, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill,
Chapel Hill, NC 27599-3250, USA*

ABSTRACT. Under certain conditions, we give an estimate from above on the number of differential equations of order $r+1$ with prescribed regular singular points, prescribed exponents at singular points, and having a quasi-polynomial flag of solutions. The estimate is given in terms of a suitable weight subspace of the tensor power $U(\mathfrak{n}_-)^{\otimes(n-1)}$, where n is the number of singular points in \mathbb{C} and $U(\mathfrak{n}_-)$ is the enveloping algebra of the nilpotent subalgebra of \mathfrak{gl}_{r+1} .

Dedicated to Askold Khovanskii on the occasion of his 60th birthday.

1. INTRODUCTION

Consider the differential equation

$$(1) \quad F(x) u''(x) + G(x) u'(x) + H(x) u(x) = 0,$$

where $F(x)$ is a polynomial of degree n , and $G(x)$, $H(x)$ are polynomials of degree not greater than $n-1$, $n-2$, respectively. If $F(x)$ has no multiple roots, then all singular points of the equation are regular singular. Write

$$(2) \quad F(x) = \prod_{s=1}^n (x - z_s), \quad \frac{G(x)}{F(x)} = - \sum_{s=1}^n \frac{m_s}{x - z_s}$$

for suitable complex numbers m_s, z_s . Then 0 and m_s+1 are exponents at z_s of equation (1). If $-l$ is one of the exponents at ∞ , then the other is $l-1 - \sum_{s=1}^n m_s$.

Problem ([Sz], Ch. 6.8) *Given polynomials $F(x)$, $G(x)$ as above and a non-negative integer l ,*

¹ Supported in part by RFFI grant 05-01-00922.

² Supported in part by NSF grant DMS-0244579.

- (a) find a polynomial $H(x)$ of degree at most $n - 2$ such that equation (1) has a polynomial solution of degree l ;
- (b) find the number of solutions to Problem (a).

If $H(x), u(x)$ is a solution to Problem (a), then the corresponding equation (1) is called a *Lame equation* and the polynomial $u(x)$ is called a *Lame function*.

Example. Let $F(x) = 1 - x^2$, $G(x) = \alpha - \beta + (\alpha + \beta + 2)x$. Then $H = l(l + \alpha + \beta + 1)$ and the corresponding polynomial solution of degree l , normalized by the condition $u(1) = \binom{l+\alpha}{l}$, is called the *Jacobi polynomial* and denoted by $P_l^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x)$.

The following result is classical.

Theorem 1.1 (Cf. [Sz], Ch. 6.8, [St]).

- Let $u(x)$ be a polynomial solution of (1) of degree l with roots t_1^0, \dots, t_l^0 of multiplicity one. Then $\mathbf{t}^0 = (t_1^0, \dots, t_l^0)$ is a critical point of the function

$$\Phi_{l,n}(\mathbf{t}; \mathbf{z}; \mathbf{m}) = \prod_{i=1}^l \prod_{s=1}^n (t_i - z_s)^{-m_s} \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq l} (t_i - t_j)^2,$$

where $\mathbf{z} = (z_1, \dots, z_n)$ and $\mathbf{m} = (m_1, \dots, m_n)$.

- Let \mathbf{t}^0 be a critical point of the function $\Phi_{l,n}(\cdot; \mathbf{z}; \mathbf{m})$, then the polynomial $u(x)$ of degree l with roots t_1^0, \dots, t_l^0 is a solution of (1) with $H(x) = (-F(x)u''(x) - G(x)u'(x))/u(x)$ being a polynomial of degree at most $n - 2$.

The function $\Phi_{l,n}(\cdot; \mathbf{z}; \mathbf{m})$ is called the *master function*. The master function is a symmetric function of the variables t_1, \dots, t_l . Therefore, the symmetric group S_l naturally acts on the set of critical points of the master function by permuting the coordinates.

By Theorem 1.1, the S_l -orbits of critical points are in one-to-one correspondence with solution $H(x), u(x)$ of Problem (a) such that $u(x)$ has no multiple roots.

The following result is also classical.

Theorem 1.2 (Cf. [Sz], Ch. 6.8, [H], [St]). If z_1, \dots, z_n are distinct real numbers and m_1, \dots, m_n are negative numbers, then the number of solutions to Problem (a) is equal to $\binom{l+n-2}{l}$.

Under these conditions on \mathbf{z} and \mathbf{m} , the master function has exactly $\binom{l+n-2}{l}$ S_l -orbits of critical points, see [Sz] and [V3].

The number $\binom{l+n-2}{l}$ has the following representation theoretical interpretation. The universal enveloping algebra $U(\mathfrak{n}_-)$ of the nilpotent subalgebra $\mathfrak{n}_- \subset \mathfrak{gl}_2$ is generated by one element e_{21} and is weighted by powers of the generator. The number $\binom{l+n-2}{l}$ is the dimension of the weight l part of the tensor power $U(\mathfrak{n}_-)^{\otimes(n-1)}$.

The case of nonnegative integers m_1, \dots, m_n is interesting for applications to the Bethe ansatz method in the Gaudin model. For a nonnegative integer m , let L_m be the $m + 1$ -dimensional irreducible \mathfrak{sl}_2 -module. If m_1, \dots, m_n are nonnegative integers and $m_\infty = \sum_{s=1}^n m_s - 2l$ is a nonnegative integer, then for any distinct z_1, \dots, z_n the number of S_l -orbits of critical points of the master function $\Phi_{l,n}(\cdot; \mathbf{z}; \mathbf{m})$ is not greater than the multiplicity of the \mathfrak{sl}_2 -module L_{m_∞} in the tensor product $\otimes_{s=1}^n L_{m_s}$. Moreover, for generic z_1, \dots, z_n , the number of S_l -orbits is equal to that multiplicity, see [ScV].

The goal of this paper is to generalize the formulated results. We consider linear differential equations of order $r + 1$ with regular singular points only, located at z_1, \dots, z_n, ∞ , and having prescribed exponents at each of the singular points. We introduce the notion of a quasi-polynomial flag of solutions for such a differential equation. (For a second order differential equation, a quasi-polynomial flag is just a solution of the form $y(x) \prod_{s=1}^n (x - z_s)^{\lambda_s}$ where $y(x)$ is a polynomial and $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$ are some complex numbers.) We prove two facts:

- Differential equations with a quasi-polynomial flag, prescribed singular points and exponents are in one-to-one correspondence with suitable orbits of critical points of some \mathfrak{gl}_{r+1} -master function.
- Under explicit generic conditions on exponents (they must be *separated*), we show that the number of orbits of critical points of the corresponding master function is not greater than the dimension of a suitable weight subspace of $U(\mathfrak{n}_-)^{\otimes(n-1)}$, where \mathfrak{n}_- is the nilpotent subalgebra of \mathfrak{gl}_{r+1} .

The proofs are based on results from [MV2, BMV].

This paper was motivated by discussions with B. Shapiro of his preprint [BBS] in which another generalization of Problems (a) and (b) is introduced for differential equations of order $r + 1$. The authors thank B. Shapiro for useful discussions of his preprint.

2. CRITICAL POINTS OF \mathfrak{gl}_{r+1} -MASTER FUNCTIONS

2.1. Lie algebra \mathfrak{gl}_{r+1} . Consider the Lie algebra \mathfrak{gl}_{r+1} with standard generators $e_{a,b}$, $a, b = 1, \dots, r + 1$, and Cartan decomposition $\mathfrak{gl}_{r+1} = \mathfrak{n}_- \oplus \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{n}_+$,

$$\mathfrak{n}_- = \oplus_{a>b} \mathbb{C} \cdot e_{a,b}, \quad \mathfrak{h} = \oplus_{a=1}^{r+1} \mathbb{C} \cdot e_{a,a}, \quad \mathfrak{n}_+ = \oplus_{a<b} \mathbb{C} \cdot e_{a,b}.$$

Set $h_a = e_{a,a} - e_{a+1,a+1}$ for $a = 1, \dots, r$. Let $e_{1,1}^*, \dots, e_{r+1,r+1}^* \in \mathfrak{h}^*$ be the basis dual to the basis $e_{1,1}, \dots, e_{r+1,r+1} \in \mathfrak{h}$. Set $\alpha_a = e_{a,a}^* - e_{a+1,a+1}^*$ for $a = 1, \dots, r$. Fix the scalar product on \mathfrak{h}^* such that $(e_{a,a}^*, e_{b,b}^*) = \delta_{a,b}$.

Let $U(\mathfrak{n}_-)$ be the universal enveloping algebra of \mathfrak{n}_- ,

$$U(\mathfrak{n}_-) = \oplus_{\mathbf{l} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^r} U(\mathfrak{n}_-)[\mathbf{l}],$$

where for $\mathbf{l} = (l_1, \dots, l_r)$, the space $U(\mathfrak{n}_-)[\mathbf{l}]$ consists of elements f such that

$$[f, h] = \langle h, \sum_{i=1}^r l_i \alpha_i \rangle f .$$

The element $\prod_i e_{a_i, b_i}$ with $a_i > b_i$ belongs to the graded subspace $U(\mathfrak{n}_-)[\mathbf{l}]$, where $\mathbf{l} = \sum_i \mathbf{l}_i$ with

$$\mathbf{l}_i = (0, 0, \dots, 0, 1_{b_i}, 1_{b_i+1}, \dots, 1_{a_i-1}, 0, 0, \dots, 0) .$$

Choose an order on the set of elements $e_{a,b}$ with $r+1 \geq a > b \geq 1$. Then the ordered products $\prod_{a>b} e_{a,b}^{n_{a,b}}$ form a graded basis of $U(\mathfrak{n}_-)$.

The grading of $U(\mathfrak{n}_-)$ induces the grading of $U(\mathfrak{n}_-)^{\otimes k}$ for any positive integer k ,

$$U(\mathfrak{n}_-)^{\otimes k} = \oplus_{\mathbf{l}} U(\mathfrak{n}_-)^{\otimes k}[\mathbf{l}] .$$

Denote

$$d(k, \mathbf{l}) = \dim U(\mathfrak{n}_-)^{\otimes k}[\mathbf{l}] .$$

For a weight $\Lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^*$, denote by L_Λ the irreducible \mathfrak{gl}_{r+1} -module with highest weight Λ . Let

$$\mathbf{\Lambda} = (\Lambda_1, \dots, \Lambda_n) , \quad \Lambda_s \in \mathfrak{h}^* ,$$

be a collection of weights and $\mathbf{l} = (l_1, \dots, l_r)$ a collection of nonnegative integers. Let

$$L_{\mathbf{\Lambda}} = L_{\Lambda_1} \otimes \dots \otimes L_{\Lambda_n}$$

be the tensor product of irreducible \mathfrak{gl}_{r+1} -modules. Let $L_{\mathbf{\Lambda}} = \oplus_{\mathbf{l} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^r} L_{\mathbf{\Lambda}}[\mathbf{l}]$ be its weight decomposition, where $L_{\mathbf{\Lambda}}[(l_1, \dots, l_r)]$ is the subspace of vectors of weight $\sum_{s=1}^n \Lambda_s - \sum_{i=1}^r l_i \alpha_i$. Let $\text{Sing } L_{\mathbf{\Lambda}}[\mathbf{l}] \subset L_{\mathbf{\Lambda}}[\mathbf{l}]$ be the subspace of singular vectors, i.e. the subspace of vectors annihilated by \mathfrak{n}_+ . Denote

$$\delta(\mathbf{\Lambda}, \mathbf{l}) = \dim \text{Sing } L_{\mathbf{\Lambda}}[\mathbf{l}] .$$

It is well-known that for given \mathbf{l} and a generic set of weights $\mathbf{\Lambda}$, we have

$$d(n-1, \mathbf{l}) = \delta(\mathbf{\Lambda}, \mathbf{l}) .$$

For given $\mathbf{\Lambda}$ and \mathbf{l} , introduce the weight

$$\Lambda_\infty = \sum_{s=1}^n \Lambda_s - \sum_{i=1}^r l_i \alpha_i \in \mathfrak{h}^*$$

and the sequences of numbers $\mathbf{m}_1, \dots, \mathbf{m}_{r+1}, \mathbf{m}_\infty$, where

$$\mathbf{m}_s = \{m_{s,1}, \dots, m_{s,r+1}\} \quad \text{and} \quad m_{s,i} = \langle \Lambda_s, e_{i,i} \rangle .$$

Having sequences $\mathbf{m}_1, \dots, \mathbf{m}_{r+1}, \mathbf{m}_\infty$, we can recover $\mathbf{\Lambda}$ and \mathbf{l} as follows:

$$(3) \quad \Lambda_s = \sum_{i=1}^{r+1} m_{s,i} e_{i,i}^* \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{i=1}^r l_i \alpha_i = \sum_{s=1}^n \Lambda_s - \Lambda_\infty .$$

We say that the set of sequences $\mathbf{m}_1, \dots, \mathbf{m}_{r+1}, \mathbf{m}_\infty$ of complex numbers is *admissible*, if all of the numbers $\mathbf{l} = (l_1, \dots, l_r)$, defined by (3), are nonnegative integers.

2.2. Master functions. Let $\mathbf{z} = (z_1, \dots, z_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n$ be a point with distinct coordinates. Let

$$\mathbf{\Lambda} = (\Lambda_1, \dots, \Lambda_n), \quad \Lambda_s \in \mathfrak{h}^*,$$

be a collection of \mathfrak{gl}_{r+1} -weights and $\mathbf{l} = (l_1, \dots, l_r)$ a collection of nonnegative integers. Set $l = l_1 + \dots + l_r$. Introduce a function of l variables

$$\mathbf{t} = (t_1^{(1)}, \dots, t_{l_1}^{(1)}, \dots, t_1^{(r)}, \dots, t_{l_r}^{(r)})$$

by the formula

$$(4) \quad \Phi(\mathbf{t}; \mathbf{z}; \mathbf{\Lambda}; \mathbf{l}) = \prod_{i=1}^r \prod_{j=1}^{l_i} \prod_{s=1}^n (t_j^{(i)} - z_s)^{-(\Lambda_s, \alpha_i)} \prod_{i=1}^r \prod_{1 \leq j < s \leq l_i} (t_j^{(i)} - t_s^{(i)})^2 \prod_{i=1}^{r-1} \prod_{j=1}^{l_i} \prod_{k=1}^{l_{i+1}} (t_j^{(i)} - t_k^{(j+1)})^{-1}.$$

The function Φ is a (multi-valued) function of \mathbf{t} , depending on parameters $\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{\Lambda}$. The function is called *the master function*.

The master functions arise in the hypergeometric solutions of the KZ equations [?, V1] and in the Bethe ansatz method for the Gaudin model [RV, ScV, MV1, MV2, MV3, V2, MTV].

The product of symmetric groups

$$S_{\mathbf{l}} = S_{l_1} \times \dots \times S_{l_r}$$

acts on variables \mathbf{t} by permuting the coordinates with the same upper index. The master function is $S_{\mathbf{l}}$ -invariant.

A point \mathbf{t} with complex coordinates is called *a critical point* of $\Phi(\cdot; \mathbf{z}; \mathbf{\Lambda}; \mathbf{l})$ if the following system of l equations is satisfied

$$(5) \quad \begin{aligned} \sum_{s=1}^n \frac{(\Lambda_s, \alpha_1)}{t_j^{(1)} - z_s} - \sum_{s=1, s \neq j}^{l_1} \frac{2}{t_j^{(1)} - t_s^{(1)}} + \sum_{s=1}^{l_2} \frac{1}{t_j^{(1)} - t_s^{(2)}} &= 0, \\ \sum_{s=1}^n \frac{(\Lambda_s, \alpha_i)}{t_j^{(i)} - z_s} - \sum_{s=1, s \neq j}^{l_i} \frac{2}{t_j^{(i)} - t_s^{(i)}} + \sum_{s=1}^{l_{i-1}} \frac{1}{t_j^{(i)} - t_s^{(i-1)}} + \sum_{s=1}^{l_{i+1}} \frac{1}{t_j^{(i)} - t_s^{(i+1)}} &= 0, \\ \sum_{s=1}^n \frac{(\Lambda_s, \alpha_r)}{t_j^{(r)} - z_s} - \sum_{s=1, s \neq j}^{l_r} \frac{2}{t_j^{(r)} - t_s^{(r)}} + \sum_{s=1}^{l_{r-1}} \frac{1}{t_j^{(r)} - t_s^{(r-1)}} &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

where $j = 1, \dots, l_1$ in the first group of equations, $i = 2, \dots, r-1$ and $j = 1, \dots, l_i$ in the second group of equations, $j = 1, \dots, l_r$ in the last group of equations.

In other words, a point \mathbf{t} is a critical point if

$$\left(\Phi^{-1} \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial t_j^{(i)}} \right) (\mathbf{t}; \mathbf{z}; \mathbf{\Lambda}; \mathbf{l}) = 0, \quad i = 1, \dots, r, \quad j = 1, \dots, l_i.$$

In the Gaudin model, equations (5) are called *the Bethe ansatz equations*.

The set of critical points is $S_{\mathbf{l}}$ -invariant.

2.3. The case of isolated critical points. We say that the pair $\mathbf{\Lambda}, \mathbf{l}$ is *separating* if

$$(2\Lambda_{\infty} + \sum_{i=1}^r c_i \alpha_i, \sum_{i=1}^r c_i \alpha_i) + 2 \sum_{i=1}^r c_i \neq 0$$

for all sets of integers $\{c_1, \dots, c_r\}$ such that $0 \leq c_i \leq l_i$, $\sum_i c_i \neq 0$.

For example, if Λ_{∞} is dominant integral, then $\mathbf{\Lambda}, \mathbf{l}$ is separating.

Lemma 2.1 (Theorem 16 [ScV], Lemma 2.1 [MV2]). *If the pair $\mathbf{\Lambda}, \mathbf{l}$ is separating, then the set of critical points of the master function $\Phi(\cdot; \mathbf{z}; \mathbf{\Lambda}; \mathbf{l})$ is finite.*

By Lemma 2.1, for given \mathbf{l} and generic $\mathbf{\Lambda}$, the master function $\Phi(\cdot; \mathbf{z}; \mathbf{\Lambda}; \mathbf{l})$ has finitely many critical points.

Theorem 2.2. *Assume that the pair $\mathbf{\Lambda}, \mathbf{l}$ is separating, then the number of $S_{\mathbf{l}}$ -orbits of critical points counted with multiplicities is not greater than $d(n-1, \mathbf{l})$.*

The multiplicity of a critical point \mathbf{t} is the multiplicity of \mathbf{t} as a solution of system (5).

Proof. It is shown in [BMV] that, if $\Lambda_1, \dots, \Lambda_n, \Lambda_{\infty}$ is a collection of dominant integral weights, then the number of $S_{\mathbf{l}}$ -orbits of critical points counted with multiplicities is not greater than $\delta(\mathbf{\Lambda}, \mathbf{l})$. Together with equality $d(n-1, \mathbf{l}) = d(\mathbf{\Lambda}, \mathbf{l})$ for generic $\mathbf{\Lambda}$, this proves the theorem. \square

3. DIFFERENTIAL OPERATORS WITH QUASI-POLYNOMIAL FLAGS OF SOLUTIONS

3.1. Fundamental differential operator. For the $S_{\mathbf{l}}$ -orbit of a critical point \mathbf{t} of the master function $\Phi(\cdot; \mathbf{z}; \mathbf{\Lambda}; \mathbf{l})$, define the tuple $\mathbf{y}^{\mathbf{t}} = (y_1, \dots, y_r)$ of polynomials in variable x ,

$$y_i(x) = \prod_{j=1}^{l_i} (x - t_j^{(i)}), \quad i = 1, \dots, r.$$

Since \mathbf{t} is a critical point, all fractions in (5) are well-defined. Therefore, the tuple $\mathbf{y}^{\mathbf{t}}$ has the following properties:

- (6) Every polynomial y_i has no multiple roots.
- (7) Every pair of polynomials y_i and y_{i+1} has no common roots.
- (8) For every $i = 1, \dots, r$ and $s = 1, \dots, n$, if $m_{s,i} - m_{s,i+1} \neq 0$, then $y_i(z_s) \neq 0$.

A tuple of monic polynomials (y_1, \dots, y_r) with properties (6)-(8) will be called *off-diagonal*.

Define quasi-polynomials T_1, \dots, T_{r+1} in x by the formula

$$(9) \quad T_i(x) = \prod_{s=1}^n (x - z_s)^{-m_{s,i}} .$$

Consider the linear differential operator of order $r + 1$,

$$D_{\mathbf{t}} = \left(\frac{d}{dx} - \ln' \left(\frac{T_{r+1}}{y_r} \right) \right) \left(\frac{d}{dx} - \ln' \left(\frac{y_r T_r}{y_{r-1}} \right) \right) \dots \left(\frac{d}{dx} - \ln' \left(\frac{y_2 T_2}{y_1} \right) \right) \left(\frac{d}{dx} - \ln'(y_1 T_1) \right)$$

where $\ln'(f)$ denotes $(df/dx)/f$ for any f . We say that $D_{\mathbf{t}}$ is the *fundamental operator* of the critical point \mathbf{t} .

Theorem 3.1. *Assume that the pair $\mathbf{\Lambda}, \mathbf{l}$ is separating. Then*

- (i) *All singular points of $D_{\mathbf{t}}$ are regular and lie in z_1, \dots, z_n, ∞ . The exponents of $D_{\mathbf{t}}$ at z_s are*

$$-m_{s,1}, -m_{s,2} + 1, \dots, -m_{s,r+1} + r$$

for $s = 1, \dots, n$, and the exponents of $D_{\mathbf{t}}$ at ∞ are

$$m_{\infty,1}, m_{\infty,2} - 1, \dots, m_{\infty,r+1} - r .$$

- (ii) *The differential equation $D_{\mathbf{t}} u = 0$ has solutions u_1, \dots, u_{r+1} such that*

$$u_1 = y_1 T_1$$

and for $i = 2, \dots, r + 1$ we have

$$\text{Wr}(u_1, \dots, u_i) = y_i T_i T_{i-1} \dots T_1$$

where $\text{Wr}(u_1, \dots, u_i)$ denotes the Wronskian of u_1, \dots, u_i and $y_{r+1} = 1$.

Proof. Part (ii) follows from the presentation of $D_{\mathbf{t}}$ as a product. To prove part (i) consider the operator $\tilde{D}_{\mathbf{t}} = T_1^{-1} \cdot D_{\mathbf{t}} \cdot T_1$, the conjugate of $D_{\mathbf{t}}$ by the operator of multiplication by T_1 . Then

$$\tilde{D}_{\mathbf{t}} = \left(\frac{d}{dx} - \ln' \left(\frac{T_{r+1}}{y_r T_1} \right) \right) \left(\frac{d}{dx} - \ln' \left(\frac{y_r T_r}{y_{r-1} T_1} \right) \right) \dots \left(\frac{d}{dx} - \ln' \left(\frac{y_2 T_2}{y_1 T_1} \right) \right) \left(\frac{d}{dx} - \ln'(y_1) \right) .$$

It is enough to show that

- (iii) *All singular points of $\tilde{D}_{\mathbf{t}}$ are regular and lie in $\{z_1, \dots, z_n, \infty\}$.*

- (iv) *The exponents of $\tilde{D}_{\mathbf{t}}$ at z_s are*

$$0, m_{s,1} - m_{s,2} + 1, \dots, m_{s,1} - m_{s,r+1} + r ,$$

for $s = 1, \dots, n$, and the exponents of $\tilde{D}_{\mathbf{t}}$ at ∞ are

$$-l_1, -m_{\infty,1} + m_{\infty,2} - 1 - l_1, \dots, -m_{\infty,1} + m_{\infty,r+1} - r - l_1 .$$

If all highest weights in the collection $\Lambda_1, \dots, \Lambda_n, \Lambda_\infty$ are integral dominant, then statements (iii-iv) are proved in [MV2], Section 5. Hence statements (iii-iv) hold for arbitrary separating $\Lambda_1, \dots, \Lambda_n, \mathbf{l}$. \square

3.2. Quasi-polynomial flags. Let $\mathbf{z} = (z_1, \dots, z_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n$ be a point with distinct coordinates. Let $\mathbf{m}_1, \dots, \mathbf{m}_{r+1}, \mathbf{m}_\infty$ be an admissible set of sequences of complex numbers as in Section 2.1. Let

$$D = \frac{d^{r+1}}{dx^{r+1}} + A_1(x) \frac{d^r}{dx^r} + \dots + A_r(x) \frac{d}{dx} + A_{r+1}(x)$$

be a differential operator with rational coefficients.

We say that D is associated with $\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{m}_1, \dots, \mathbf{m}_{r+1}, \mathbf{m}_\infty$, if

- All singular points of D are regular and lie in z_1, \dots, z_n, ∞ .
- The exponents of D at z_s are $-m_{s,1}, -m_{s,2}+1, \dots, -m_{s,r+1}+r$ for $s = 1, \dots, n$.
- The exponents of D at ∞ are $m_{\infty,1}, m_{\infty,2}-1, \dots, m_{\infty,r+1}-r$.

Define quasi-polynomials T_1, \dots, T_{r+1} in x by formula (9).

We say that an operator D , associated with $\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{m}_1, \dots, \mathbf{m}_{r+1}, \mathbf{m}_\infty$, has a quasi-polynomial flag, if there exists a tuple $\mathbf{y} = (y_1, \dots, y_r)$ of monic polynomials in x , such that

- (i) For $i = 1, \dots, r$, $\deg y_i = l_i$.
- (ii) The tuple \mathbf{y} is off-diagonal in the sense of (6)-(8).
- (iii) The differential equation $Du = 0$ has solutions u_1, \dots, u_{r+1} such that

$$u_1 = y_1 T_1$$

and for $i = 2, \dots, r+1$ we have

$$\text{Wr}(u_1, \dots, u_i) = y_i T_i T_{i-1} \dots T_1,$$

where $y_{r+1} = 1$.

Proposition 3.2. *An operator D , associated with $\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{m}_1, \dots, \mathbf{m}_{r+1}, \mathbf{m}_\infty$, has a quasi-polynomial flag if and only there exists a tuple $\mathbf{y} = (y_1, \dots, y_r)$ of monic polynomials in x with properties (i-ii) and*

- (iv) *The differential operator D can be presented in the form*

$$D = \left(\frac{d}{dx} - \ln' \left(\frac{T_{r+1}}{y_r} \right) \right) \left(\frac{d}{dx} - \ln' \left(\frac{y_r T_r}{y_{r-1}} \right) \right) \dots \left(\frac{d}{dx} - \ln' \left(\frac{y_2 T_2}{y_1} \right) \right) \left(\frac{d}{dx} - \ln'(y_1 T_1) \right).$$

Proof. The equivalence of (iii) and (iv) follows from the next lemma.

Lemma 3.3. *Let u_1, \dots, u_{r+1} be any functions such that*

$$\text{Wr}(u_1, \dots, u_i) = y_i T_i T_{i-1} \dots T_1,$$

for $i = 1, \dots, r+1$. Then u_1, \dots, u_{r+1} form a basis of the space of solutions of the equation $Du = 0$ with

$$D = \left(\frac{d}{dx} - \ln'\left(\frac{T_{r+1}}{y_r}\right)\right) \left(\frac{d}{dx} - \ln'\left(\frac{y_r T_r}{y_{r-1}}\right)\right) \dots \left(\frac{d}{dx} - \ln'\left(\frac{y_2 T_2}{y_1}\right)\right) \left(\frac{d}{dx} - \ln'(y_1 T_1)\right).$$

Proof. It is enough to prove that $Du_i = 0$ for $i = 1, \dots, r+1$. By induction on i , we obtain that

$$\left(\frac{d}{dx} - \ln'\left(\frac{y_i T_i}{y_{i-1}}\right)\right) \dots \left(\frac{d}{dx} - \ln'\left(\frac{y_2 T_2}{y_1}\right)\right) \left(\frac{d}{dx} - \ln'(y_1 T_1)\right) u = \frac{W(u_1, \dots, u_i, u)}{y_i T_i T_{i-1} \dots T_1}$$

from which the statement follows. \square

\square

Examples of differential operators with quasi-polynomial flags are given by Theorem 3.1. If \mathbf{t} is a critical point of the master function $\Phi(\cdot; \mathbf{z}; \mathbf{\Lambda}; \mathbf{l})$, then by Theorem 3.1, the fundamental differential operator $D_{\mathbf{t}}$ is associated with \mathbf{z} , $\mathbf{m}_1, \dots, \mathbf{m}_{r+1}, \mathbf{m}_{\infty}$ and has a quasi-polynomial flag.

Having \mathbf{z} , $\mathbf{m}_1, \dots, \mathbf{m}_{r+1}, \mathbf{m}_{\infty}$, define $\mathbf{\Lambda}, \mathbf{l}$ by (3) and the master function $\Phi(\cdot; \mathbf{z}; \mathbf{\Lambda}; \mathbf{l})$ by (4).

Having a tuple $\mathbf{y} = (y_1, \dots, y_r)$, $y_i(x) = \prod_{j=1}^{l_i} (x - t_j^{(i)})$, denote by

$$(10) \quad \mathbf{t} = (t_1^{(1)}, \dots, t_{l_1}^{(1)}, \dots, t_1^{(r)}, \dots, t_{l_r}^{(r)})$$

a point in \mathbb{C}^l , whose coordinates are roots of the polynomials of \mathbf{y} . The tuple \mathbf{y} uniquely determines the S_l -orbit of \mathbf{t} .

Theorem 3.4. *Assume that an operator D is associated with \mathbf{z} , $\mathbf{m}_1, \dots, \mathbf{m}_{r+1}, \mathbf{m}_{\infty}$ and has a quasi-polynomial flag. Let \mathbf{y} be the corresponding tuple of polynomials. Then the point \mathbf{t} , introduced in (10), is a critical point of the master function $\Phi(\cdot; \mathbf{z}; \mathbf{\Lambda}; \mathbf{l})$.*

Proof. Let u_1, \dots, u_{r+1} be the set of solutions of the differential equation $Du = 0$ giving the quasi-polynomial flag. Introduce the functions $\tilde{y}_1, \dots, \tilde{y}_r$ by the formulas $u_2 = \tilde{y}_1 T_1$ and for $i = 2, \dots, r$,

$$\tilde{y}_i T_i T_{i-1} \dots T_1 = \text{Wr}(u_1, \dots, u_{i-1}, u_{i+1}).$$

The functions $\tilde{y}_1, \dots, \tilde{y}_r$ are multi-valued functions.

- Singularities of all branches of all of these functions lie in z_1, \dots, z_n .

It follows from the Wronskian identities of [MV2], that

$$\bullet \text{Wr}(\tilde{y}_1, y_1) = \frac{T_2}{T_1} y_2, \quad \text{Wr}(\tilde{y}_r, y_r) = \frac{T_{r+1}}{T_r} y_{r-1}, \quad \text{Wr}(\tilde{y}_i, y_i) = \frac{T_{i+1}}{T_i} y_{i-1} y_{i+1}$$

for $i = 2, \dots, r - 1$. These two properties of functions $\tilde{y}_1, \dots, \tilde{y}_r$ imply equations (5) for roots of polynomials y_1, \dots, y_r , see [MV2]. This shows that the point \mathbf{t} is a critical point. \square

The correspondence between critical points and differential operators with quasi-polynomial flags is reflexive. If \mathbf{t} is a critical point of the master function $\Phi(\cdot; \mathbf{z}; \mathbf{\Lambda}; \mathbf{l})$, which corresponds by Theorem 3.4 to the differential operator D , then D is the fundamental differential operator of the critical point \mathbf{t} .

3.3. Conclusion. Let $\mathbf{z} = (z_1, \dots, z_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n$ be a point with distinct coordinates. Let $\mathbf{m}_1, \dots, \mathbf{m}_{r+1}, \mathbf{m}_\infty$ be an admissible set of sequences of complex numbers as in Section 2.1. Define $\Lambda_1, \dots, \Lambda_n, \Lambda_\infty, \mathbf{l}$ by (3). Assume that \mathbf{l} is fixed and $\Lambda_1, \dots, \Lambda_n$ are separating (that is generic). Then the number of differential operators D , associated with $\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{m}_1, \dots, \mathbf{m}_{r+1}, \mathbf{m}_\infty$ and having a quasi-polynomial flag, is not greater than $d(n-1, \mathbf{l})$, see Theorems 2.2 and 3.4.

It is interesting to note that if $\Lambda_1, \dots, \Lambda_n, \Lambda_\infty$ are dominant integral, then the number of differential operators D , associated with $\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{m}_1, \dots, \mathbf{m}_{r+1}, \mathbf{m}_\infty$ and having a quasi-polynomial flag, is not greater than the multiplicity $\delta(\mathbf{\Lambda}, \mathbf{l})$, which could be a smaller number. See Theorem 3.4 and description in [MV2] of the critical points of the corresponding master functions.

REFERENCES

- [BMV] P. Belkale, E. Mukhin, and A. Varchenko, *Multiplicity of critical points of master functions and Schubert calculus* Preprint (2005), 1–23; [math.QA/0503132](#)
- [BBS] J. Borcea, P. Branden, and B. Shapiro, *On higher Lamé equations, Heine-Stieltjes and Van Vleck polynomials*, in preparation
- [H] E. Heine, *Handbuch der Kugelfunctionen*, G. Reimer Verlag, Berlin, vol. 1 (1878), 472–479
- [MTV] E. Mukhin, V. Tarasov, and A. Varchenko, *The B. and M. Shapiro conjecture in real algebraic geometry and the Bethe ansatz*, Preprint (2005), 1–17, [math.AG/0512299](#)
- [MV1] E. Mukhin and A. Varchenko, *Remarks on critical points of phase functions and norms of Bethe vectors*, Adv. Stud. Pure Math. **27** (2000), 239–246
- [MV2] E. Mukhin and A. Varchenko, *Critical Points of Master Functions and Flag Varieties*, Communications in Contemporary Mathematics **6** (2004), no. 1, 111–163
- [MV3] E. Mukhin and A. Varchenko, *Norm of a Bethe Vector and the Hessian of the Master Function*, Compos. Math. **141** (2005), no. 4, 1012–1028
- [RV] N. Reshetikhin and A. Varchenko, *Quasiclassical asymptotics of solutions to the KZ equations*, Geometry, Topology and Physics for R. Bott, Intern. Press, 1995, 293–322

- [SV] V V. Schechtman and A. Varchenko, *Arrangements of hyperplanes and Lie algebra homology*, Invent. Math. **106** (1991), 139-194
- [ScV] I. Scherbak and A. Varchenko, *Critical points of functions, \mathfrak{sl}_2 representations, and Fuchsian differential equations with only univalued solutions*, Dedicated to Vladimir I. Arnold on the occasion of his 65th birthday, Mosc. Math. J. **3** (2003), no. 2, 621-645
- [St] T. Stieltjes, *Sur certains polynomes qui verifient une equation differentielle lineaire du second ordre et sur la theorie des fonctions de Lamé*, Acta Math. **8** (1885), 321-326
- [Sz] G. Szego, *Orthogonal Polynomials*, AMS, 1939.
- [V1] A. Varchenko, *Hypergeometric Functions and Representation Theory of Lie Algebras and Quantum Groups*, Advanced Series in Mathematical Physics, Vol. 21, World Scientific, 1995
- [V2] A. Varchenko, *Bethe Ansatz for Arrangements of Hyperplanes and the Gaudin Model*, Preprint (2004), 1-17; [hep-th/0408001](#)
- [V3] A. Varchenko, *Critical points of the product of powers of linear functions and families of bases of singular vectors*, Compositio Mathematica **97** (1995), 385-401